UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,448	05/30/2000	Scott Andrew Snyder	051638-5001-02 2465 EXAMINER	
7278	7590 12/19/2007			
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770		·	SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
Church Street S New York, NY		,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ivew Tork, ivi	10000 0770		3691	<u> </u>
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/580,448	SNYDER, SCOTT ANDREW		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Narayanswamy Subramanian	3691		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			`		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oc	ctober 2007			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16,18-22,25-40,42,45,68,70-72 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18-22, 25-40, 42, 45, 68, 70-72,</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  74-77 is/are rejected.	olication.		
	on Papers		<b>4</b> ,		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  □ acce		- Examiner		
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	. ,— .			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been received in Received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage		
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	/ed.		
,	1				
•44					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	or (PTO 413)		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application		

09/580,448 Art Unit: 3691

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on October 12, 2007. Amendments to claims 1 and 26 have been entered. Rejections made under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph in the last office action are withdrawn in view of the amendments. Claims 1-16, 18-22, 25-40, 42, 45, 68, 70-72 and 74-77 are currently pending in the application and have been examined. The rejections and response to arguments are stated below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-16, 18-22, 25-40, 42, 45, 68, 70-72 and 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of "creating at least N combinations of commodity options by, for each of the N commodity categories". It is not clear what the applicant means by this limitation. This claim also recites the limitation "selecting a highest ranked option for that commodity category". It is not clear which commodity category the applicant is alluding to because there are N commodity categories. Steps b(ii) and b(iii) recite other commodity categories and remaining commodity categories respectively, which are both unclear because "that commodity category" in step b(i) has not been properly identified. It is also not clear what the remaining commodity categories include. Does it include all categories excluding "that category in step b(i) or does it include all categories that are not included in step b(i) and step

09/580,448

Art Unit: 3691

b(ii). Appropriate clarification/correction is required. Dependent claims 2-16, 18-22, 25, 68, 70-71 and 76 are rejected by way of dependency on a rejected independent claim.

Claim 26 is not sufficiently precise due to the combining of two different statutory classes of invention in a single claim. The preamble the claim refers to a system for assisting a customer in choosing a combination of commodities, but the body of the claim discusses the specifics of a method (ranking the options within each commodity category based, in part, on at least one optimization parameter) and subsequently the claim then deals with the specifics of a system (means for creating, means for selecting etc.). A claim is considered indefinite if it does not apprise those skilled in the art of its scope. *Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharm. Co.*, 927 F. 2d 1200, 1217 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Dependent claims 27-40, 42, 45, 72, 74-75 and 77 are rejected by way of dependency on a rejected independent claim.

It is also not clear if the applicant is invoking the 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph while claiming the system of claim 26 and the dependent claims. If the applicant intends to invoke this statute, the applicant is respectfully requested to make it explicit in his reply to this office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 26-40, 42, 45, 72, 74-75 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non statutory subject matter.

35 U.S.C. §101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter or new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added).

Applicant's claims mentioned above are intended to embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 § U.S.C. §101. The claim 26 begins by discussing a system (ex. Preamble of claim 26), the body of the claim discusses the specifics of a method (ranking the options within each commodity category based, in part, on at least one optimization parameter) and subsequently the claim then deals with the specifics of a system (means for creating, means for selecting etc.). (See rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for specific details regarding this issue). "A claim of this type is precluded by express language of 35 U.S.C. §101 which is drafted so as to set forth statutory the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only", *Ex parte Lyell* (17USPQ2d 1548).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Conclusion**

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is listed on the enclosed form PTO-892.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

09/580,448

Art Unit: 3691

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached at (571) 272-6771. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number:

09/580,448 Art Unit: 3691

Dr. N. Subramanian Primary Examiner Art Unit 3691

December 14, 2007

Page 6